

Artificial Intelligence in Plastic Surgery

Innovation or Illusion!

Plastic surgery has long stood at the crossroads of artistry and precision, where innovation is not merely welcomed but expected. In recent years, however, the speciality finds itself on the threshold of a transformative force known as 'Artificial Intelligence (AI)'. What was once speculative has now begun to permeate multiple domains, including clinical practice, research, and surgical training. The integration of AI into plastic surgery is driven by advances in machine learning, computer vision, and predictive analytics. These technologies are particularly well-suited to this speciality, which relies heavily on visual interpretation, photography, and outcome prediction. AI-based systems are increasingly being utilised for facial analysis, surgical simulation, and outcome prediction, thereby enhancing preoperative planning and improving patient counselling.

In reconstructive surgery, AI has demonstrated significant promise in areas such as burn surface area calculation, burn depth assessment, flap planning, and prediction of wound-healing trajectories. These applications can facilitate more informed and timely clinical decision-making, such as determining whether a burn wound should be managed conservatively or requires early excision and grafting, or predicting the likelihood of hypertrophic scarring and so on. Furthermore, emerging evidence highlights its expanding role in perioperative evaluation, intraoperative assistance, and postoperative monitoring, underscoring its growing integration into the surgical workflow.

Artificial intelligence is becoming useful in aesthetic surgery by helping doctors analyse facial features, plan procedures, and show patients possible results. It makes treatment more personalised and improves understanding

between the surgeon and patient. AI can also help assess skin quality and signs of ageing. However, it should be used carefully, as it may sometimes promote unrealistic beauty standards. Therefore, it should support, but not replace, the surgeon's judgment.

Equally transformative is the role of AI in education and training. AI-driven simulation platforms and video-based analytics enable objective assessment of surgical skills, thereby reducing the variability inherent in traditional models. Such innovations hold particular promise in low-resource settings, where access to high-volume surgical exposure may be limited. Notably, these tools are already being explored in the teaching of cleft lip and palate repair, craniofacial anomalies, flap harvesting, and microsurgical procedures, offering standardised and reproducible training opportunities.

Artificial intelligence is making teleconsultation and follow-up in plastic surgery smarter and more efficient. It helps monitor postoperative progress, assess wounds and scars remotely, and detect early complications. This is especially valuable in remote or resource-limited settings, improving access to timely care. However, it should support rather than replace direct clinical evaluation. Despite these advancements, significant concerns persist. One major challenge is data bias. AI systems depend on the datasets on which they are trained, which may not represent diverse populations. In plastic surgery, outcomes are influenced by ethnicity, skin type, and cultural perceptions of beauty. Such biases may lead to inequitable or suboptimal results. Ethical considerations further complicate adoption. Issues relating to patient autonomy, informed consent, transparency, data privacy, and accountability remain

Access this article online



Website:
www.jmsskims.org

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.33883/jms.v29i1.1482>

How to cite this article: Baba PUF. Artificial Intelligence in Plastic Surgery: Innovation or Illusion! JMS 2026; 29(1)1-2

Received: 2026-03-30 Accepted: 2026-03-31



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

unresolved. If AI contributes to clinical decision-making, responsibility in the event of an adverse outcome becomes complex. Robust regulatory frameworks and adherence to core ethical principles are essential to ensure safe and equitable implementation.

An equally important concern is overdependence on technology. Though AI is a powerful adjunct, it cannot replace the surgeon. Plastic surgery is not just a technical discipline; it is grounded in clinical judgment, tactile feedback, and aesthetic sensibility. These qualities cannot be fully replicated by algorithms. Overreliance on AI-generated outputs risks diminishing the surgeon's role as a critical thinker and decision-maker. Data security, medico-legal liability, and cost-effectiveness must be seriously considered before widespread AI adoption. AI implementation requires significant infrastructure, validation, and continuous monitoring. These pose challenges, particularly in resource-constrained environments like ours.

Moreover, the psychological and societal implications of AI-driven aesthetic standards cannot be ignored. AI tools used in facial analysis and simulation may inadvertently reinforce narrow definitions of beauty, potentially exacerbating body image concerns and fostering unrealistic patient expectations.

Despite these challenges, AI should not be viewed as a threat but as an adjunct. We should treat it as a good servant rather than a master. Its potential to enhance precision, efficiency, and accessibility makes it an invaluable tool in modern plastic surgery. However, its integration must be

guided by rigorous validation, ethical oversight, and sustained clinician engagement. So, AI represents both an opportunity and a responsibility for plastic surgeons. The future of the speciality will not be defined by the replacement of surgeons by machines, but by the ability to responsibly harness AI while preserving the art, intuition, and human connection that remain central to patient care. The challenge is not merely to innovate, but to do so wisely.

REFERENCES

1. Duong TV, Vy VPT, Hung TNK. Artificial intelligence in plastic surgery: advancements, applications, and future. *Cosmetics*. 2024;11(4):109. doi:10.3390/cosmetics11040109
2. Kenig N, Monton Echeverria J, Rubi C. Ethics for AI in plastic surgery: guidelines and review. *Aesthetic Plast Surg*. 2024;48(11):2204-2209. doi:10.1007/s00266-024-03932-
3. Dhawan R, Brooks KD. Limitations of artificial intelligence in plastic surgery. *Aesthetic Surg J*. 2024;44(4):NP323–NP324. doi:10.1093/asj/sjad357
4. Barone M, De Bernardis R, Persichetti P. Artificial intelligence in plastic surgery: applications and psychological impact. *Aesthetic Plast Surg*. 2025;49(5):1637-1639. doi:10.1007/s00266-024-03988-1

Peerzada Umar Farooq Baba

Additional Professor, Department of Plastic Surgery,
SKIMS

Email: umar.farooq@skims.ac.in